

Assessment of Macrovascular Complications in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients with Special Reference to Platelet Indices

Rakesh Patel¹, Karan Saran Kapur², Gaurav Agrawal^{3*}

¹Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, SSMC & SGMH, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, SSMC & SGMH, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

³PG Resident, SSMC & SGMH, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

Received: 09-02-2021 / Revised: 15-03-2021 / Accepted: 07-04-2021

Abstract

Introduction: Diabetes mellitus (DM) is not a single disease entity but rather a group of metabolic disorders sharing the common underlying features of hyperglycemia. Larger and younger size of the platelets are suggestive of increased risk of thrombosis and also associated with increased risk for hyperglycemic complication. **Objective:** To assess correlation of macrovascular complications in T2DM patients with special reference to platelet indices (MPV). **Material and method:** A cross-sectional analytical study was carried out in our institution for Total of 500 subjects was enrolled in the study and presence of macrovascular complications was noted. **Result:** MPV is significantly higher in patients with poor glycemic control (HbA1c > 7) and presence of macrovascular complications compared to patients with good glycemic control (HbA1c ≤ 7) and absence of macrovascular complications (p value is < 0.05 which is highly significant). **Conclusion:** Our study showed that changes in platelet indices were found to be associated with T2 diabetes mellitus and its macrovascular complication. Hence MPV can be used as a simple and cost effective indicator to find the association between T2 diabetes mellitus and its macrovascular complication.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus (D.M), hyperglycemia, Mean Platelet Volume (MPV)

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is not a single disease entity but rather a group of metabolic disorders sharing the common underlying features of hyperglycemia. Hyperglycemia in diabetes results from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or, most commonly both. The chronic hyperglycemia and attendant metabolic deregulation of diabetes mellitus may be associated with secondary damage in multiple organ systems especially kidneys, eyes, peripheral nerves and blood vessels [1]. Macrovascular complications such as Coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular disease and peripheral arterial disease are associated with considerable medical and economic impact among person with diabetes. Increased platelet activation has been suggested to be involved in the pathogenesis of vascular complications. It is being found that MPV values are high in patients with diabetes mellitus, more so in uncontrolled diabetes. Platelet volume, a marker of the platelet function and activation, is proposed as to be involved as a causative agent with respect to altered platelet morphology and function. Larger and younger size of the platelets are suggestive of increased risk of thrombosis and also associated with increased risk for hyperglycemic complications [2].

Materials and methods

This cross sectional analytical study was carried out in our institution for duration of 1 year. Total of 500 subjects was enrolled in the study. Informed Patient Consent was obtained before clinical examination. Thorough history taking and clinical examination were done. Patient's proforma was maintained which included all demographic particulars, past medical, surgical, drug, personal and family history.

Selection criteria

Inclusion criteria

Type 2 Diabetic patients with macrovascular complications.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients suffering from:- Type 1 diabetes, Genetical disorder, Bone marrow disorder, Autoimmune disorders, Platelet disorder, Patients suffering from thyroid-related disorders, Infectious diseases, AIDS, Sepsis, Pregnant women, Patients on anti-platelet drugs and cancer chemotherapy.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics was done for all data and suitable statistical tests of comparison were done. Continuous variables were analysed with the Unpaired t test/single factor ANOVA and categorical variables were analysed with chi squared test/ Fisher Exact Test. regression analysis done and odds ratio with confidence interval calculated. Statistical significance was taken as P < 0.05. The data was analysed using SPSS Version 16. Microsoft Excel 2010 was used to generate charts.

Results

Among the 500 diabetic patients enrolled in the study, we divide them in two groups, good glycemic control group and poor glycemic control group based on their HbA1c values. Good glycemic control group (HbA1c ≤ 7) contains 170 patients and poor glycemic control group (HbA1c > 7) contains 330 patients. MPV is significantly higher in patients with poor glycemic control (HbA1c > 7) and presence of macrovascular complications compared to patients with good glycemic control (HbA1c ≤ 7) and absence of macrovascular complications (p value is < 0.05 which is highly significant).

*Correspondence

Dr. Gaurav Agrawal

PG Resident, SSMC & SGMH, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

E-mail: mastergaurav27@gmail.com

Table 1: MPV distribution according to good and poor glycemic control

Mean platelet volume Distribution	Good Glycemic Control Group (HbA1c≤7)	Poor Glycemic Control Group (HbA1c>7)	P value Unpaired t Test
Mean	7.85	9.961	<0.0001
SD	0.466	1.214	

Table 2: Association of MPV among CAD

Mean platelet volume vs CAD Status	CAD+ GROUP	CAD- GROUP	P value Unpaired t Test
Mean	9.80	9.43	<0.0001
SD	1.29	1.19	

Table 3: Association of MPV among CVA

Mean platelet volume vs CVA status	CVA+ GROUP	CVA- GROUP	P value Unpaired t Test
Mean	9.93	8.95	<0.0001
SD	1.31	1.20	

Table 4: Association of MPV among PAD

Mean platelet Volume vs PAD status	PAD+ GROUP	PAD- GROUP	P value Unpaired t Test
Mean	10.25	8.65	<0.0001
SD	1.47	1.22	

Table 5: Correlation study between MPV and other parameters

S.N	Parameters	Pearson's R	R square	P value
1.	HbA1c VS MPV	0.75	0.56	0.00001
2.	FBS VS MPV	0.62	0.38	0.00001
3.	PPBS VS MPV	0.61	0.37	0.00001

Discussion

In our study, platelet parameters such as mean platelet volume (MPV), were compared between diabetic population with good glycaemic control (HbA1c <7) and poor glycaemic control (HbA1c >7) and relation of mean platelet volume with macrovascular complications like CAD, CVA and PAD. The data subjected to statistical unpaired t test reveals the existence of statistically significant association between MPV distribution and glycemic control based on HbA1c levels ($p < 0.05$). This significance is exhibited by the increased mean MPV (9.96 ± 1.21 , p value = 0.0001) levels in poor glycemic control group compared to good glycemic control group (2.11 fl increase). This was similar to studies done by Zuberi et al [3] and Kodiatte et al [4]. Our study showed increased mean MPV levels in hypertension +ve group compared to hypertension -ve group similar to the study conducted by Coban et al [5], increased mean MPV levels in CAD +ve group compared to CAD -ve group similar to the studies done by Sushma KL et al [6]. In agreement with the studies done by Nabil A. El-Kafrawy et al [7], in case of CVA increased mean MPV levels in CVA +ve group compared to CVA -ve group which is similar to the studies done by Philip Bath et al [8]. In case of PAD increased mean MPV levels in PAD +ve group compared to PAD -ve group. This is in agreement with the studies done by Jeffrey S. Berger et al [9]. By conventional criteria the relationship between the HbA1c levels and MPV levels is considered to be statistically significant since $p < 0.05$. This means as HbA1c levels increase MPV levels also increase in a direct and linear fashion in our study subjects. This observation was similar to the studies done by Kodiatte et al [4] and Alhadas et al [10]. Our study suggested that there is a relationship between the prevalence of macrovascular complications in type 2 DM with MPV. Growing evidence revealed that increased MPV is an important risk factor for the vascular complications regarding type 2 DM and it is believed

that type 2 DM is a prothrombotic state due to increased platelet activity. Hence increased MPV can generate a procoagulant effect and cause thrombotic vascular complications in diabetes mellitus.

Conclusion

Our study showed that changes in platelet indices were found to be associated with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and its macrovascular complication. Hence MPV can be used as a simple and cost effective indicator to find the association between Type 2 diabetes mellitus and its macrovascular complication.

Limitation

The major limitation of the study was that it was conducted in a small population that may not represent the entire population. Moreover, it could have been possible to correlate and check the reversibility of platelet dysfunction with glycaemic control over a period of time.

References

- Maitra A. Diabetes mellitus. In: Kumar V, Abbas AK, Aster JC. Basic pathology: 9th Ed. Canada: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2013. P.739-751.
- Betteridge DJ, Zahavi J, Jones NA, Shine B, Kakkar VV, Galton DJ. Platelet function in diabetes mellitus in relationship to complications, glycosylated haemoglobin and serum lipoproteins. European journal of clinical investigation. 1981;11 (4): 273-7.
- Zuberi BF, Akhtar N, Afsar S. Comparison of mean platelet volume in patients with diabetes mellitus, impaired fasting glucose and non-diabetic subjects. Singapore Med J. 2008;49 (2): 114-6.
- Kodiatte TA, Manikyam UK, Rao SB, Jagadish TM, Reddy M, Lingaiah HK, Lakshmaiah V. Mean platelet volume in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of laboratory physicians. 2012;4(1):5.

5. Coban E, Bostan F, Ozdogan M. The mean platelet volume in subjects with impaired fasting glucose. *Platelets*. 2006;17(1):67-9.
6. Sushma K L, Rangaswamy M. Study of Platelet Indices in Type 2 Diabetic Patients and Its Correlation with Vascular Complications. *Annals of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*. 2017; 4(1):9
7. Nabil A. El-Kafrawya, Mohamed A. El-Saida, Mohamed A. Abd El-Hafeezb, Heba Adel Saleh Omar Saeed. Mean platelet volume and its correlation with cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetic patients. *Menoufia Medical Journal*. 2019;32:949-954
8. Philip Bath, Charles Algert, Neil Chapman, Bruce Neal. Association of Mean Platelet Volume With Risk of Stroke Among 3134 Individuals With History of Cerebrovascular Disease. 2004;35:622-626.
9. Berger JS, Eraso LH, Xie D, Sha D, Mohler ER. Mean platelet volume and prevalence of peripheral artery disease, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010;213(2):586-9
10. Alhadas KR, Santos SN, Freitas MM, Viana SM, Ribeiro LC, Costa MB. Are platelet indices useful in the evaluation of type 2 diabetic patients?. *Jornal Brasileiro de Patologia e Medicina Laboratorial*. 2016;52(2):96- 102.

Conflict of Interest:Nil

Source of support:Nil