Original Research Article

Comparative Study between Cold Dissection and Bipolar Diathermy Dissection Methods of Tonsillectomy

Oinam Priyokumar Singh¹, Rajlakshmi Khundrakpam^{2*}, Pusma Sanasam³, Xavier S Marak⁴, Sattien Arun⁵, Vephizo Keyho⁶

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur,India

²Senior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur, India

³Junior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur,India

⁴Junior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur,India

⁵Junior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur,India

⁶Junior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur,India Received: 10-08-2020 / Revised: 21-10-2020 / Accepted: 04-11-2020

Abstract

A comparative study between two methods of tonsillectomy - Cold Dissection and Bipolar Diathermy Dissection was conducted in 60 patients. The parameters for comparison were duration of the surgical technique, tonsillectomy associated haemorrhage and post-operative local pain. Duration of the surgical technique was significantly lesser in the Bipolar Diathermy Dissection method than that in the Cold Dissection method. Intra-operative haemorrhage was also significantly reduced in the Bipolar Diathermy Dissection method. In the Cold Dissection method, there were three cases of reactionary haemorrhage, but there was no case of post-operative haemorrhage in the Bipolar Diathermy Dissection method. The post-operative pain levels were the same in the day of surgery, but from post-operative day one onwards, pain was more on the side operated with bipolar diathermy dissection. The side on which cold dissection was done showed quicker reduction of pain as well as lesser intensity of pain.

Key words: Chronic tonsillitis; tonsillectomy, cold steel dissection, bipolar diathermy dissection, tonsil hypertrophy

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Tonsillectomy is one of the most frequently performed surgical procedures in otorhinolaryngology. Various techniques are available for performing this surgery.

*Correspondence

Dr. Rajlakshmi Khundrakpam

Senior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur,India **E-mail:** rajshmi007@gmail.com Owing to this, an understanding of the pros and cons of each technique is important. Cold steel dissection technique is one of the oldest technique of tonsillectomy whereby the tonsil is grasped medially while incising open the anterior pillar and dissecting off the tonsil from the superior pole till its inferior pole where it is ligated. Bipolar electrocautery has become the most popular technique in the last two or three decades. It follows the same steps as in cold steel dissection except being done by means of cautery. In this prospective study, we are presenting an in-depth comparison between the two methods of tonsillectomy

in regards to blood loss, post-operative local pain and duration of operative procedures, which are the most important parameters of this surgical procedures.

Objectives

1. To compare the intra-operative blood loss between cold steel dissection and bipolar cautery method.

2. To compare the post-operative local pain between cold steel dissection and bipolar cautery method.

3. To compare the duration of surgery between cold steel dissection and bipolar cautery method.

Materials

This prospective study which was carried out in the department of Otorhinolaryngology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur from March 2018 to February 2020. Prior to starting the study, necessary permission and approval from the ethics committee and authority, was taken. Informed written consents were obtained from the patients involved in the study, according to the protocol approved by the ethics committee of our institution. The study comprises of patients who are diagnosed with chronic tonsillitis and/or airway obstruction due to tonsillar hypertrophy from all age groups and either sex. Inclusion Criteria

1. All age groups

- 2. Either sex
- 3. Chronic Tonsillitis
- A Airway abstruction due

4. Airway obstruction due to tonsillar hypertrophy **Exclusion Criteria**

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Acute tonsillitis
- 2. Quinsy
- 3. Patients with bleeding disorders

4. Systemic medical conditions that contraindicate general anaesthesia

Method

All the patients were admitted to the ward prior to surgery for better assessment. A detailed clinical history regarding the duration, onset, frequency and any predisposing factors or co existing systemic disease was taken. Each patient was subjected to a detailed examination of ear. nose, throat and neck. Tonsillar hypertrophy graded. was Routine investigations including bleeding time, clotting time and prothrombin time done. All the procedures were performed under general anaesthesia. Cold steel dissection tonsillectomy was done on one side, either right or left, while the other tonsil was removed by bipolar cauterization method. Patients were discharged on the next day unless indicated otherwise. Intraoperative blood loss, duration of surgery, postoperative pain and any complications that occurred recorded. Intra-operative blood loss was were measured by adding the amount of blood collected in the suction bag and the number of cotton balls used in moping the surgical field. The blood loss will be taken as 1ml if the cotton ball is fully soaked and 0.5 ml if partially soaked. Post operative pain was graded by means of FLACC scoring system1 for young children upto 9 years of age. Children older than 9 years and adults were graded using the Numerical Rating Scale system. FLACC scoring system is an acronym that includes five indicators, each scored as a 0, 1 or 2 that forms a ten point composite scale with a range from O(no pain) to 10(worst pain)

Score	0	1	2
Face	No expression	Occasional action	Frequent action
Legs	Normal	Restless/tense	KIcking, legs withdrawn
Activity	Quiet	Shifting/tense	Rigid, arched, jerking
Cry	None	Moan, whimper	Steady crying, screaming, sobbing or frequent complaints
Consolability	Content	Consolable	Inconsolable

In Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) scoring system, older children and teenagers are asked to rate their pain on a scale of 0(no pain) to 10(worst pain).



Regular follow-ups were done with recordings of the required parameters till slough in the tonsillar fossa was cleared.

Results

Duration of surgery : The mean duration of surgery for Cold Steel Dissection surgical technique was 21.75 ± 6.23 min whereas that for Bipolar Cautery surgical technique was 11.75 ± 6.76 min.

Table 1:Comparison of operative time between the two techniques (in minutes)

Duration (in minutes)		Total			
	Cold Stee	l Dissection	Bipolar	· Cautery	
	n	%	N	%	
<15	6	10.00	43	71.67	49
15-30	52	86.67	17	28.33	69
30-60	2	3.33	0	0.00	2
Total	60	100.00	60	100.00	120
<i>Chi-square</i> (χ^2) =47.692, <i>d</i>	f=2, p <.001, Sig	nificant at 1% level	of significance		

Table 1 shows the comparison between the two surgical techniques used in terms of the intra operative time (in minutes). The association was tested using Chi-square test for association between attributes.

Out of 60 surgeries done using cold steel dissection surgical technique, 6 (10%) had less than 15 minutes duration, 52 (86.67%) had duration of surgery between 15 minutes and 30 minutes, and 2 (3.33%) had duration of surgery between 30 minutes to 60 minutes. Out of 60 surgeries done using bipolar cautery technique, 43 (71.67%) had less than 15 minutes duration of surgery, 17 (28.33%) had duration of surgery between 15 minutes and 30 minutes.

The chi-square test statistic suggests that, the duration of surgery is significantly associated with the surgical technique used, i.e., significantly less in the bipolar diathermy dissection method that in the cold dissection method ($\chi 2$ =47.692, p <.01, significant at 1% level of significance). The results were also shown in the figures 1 and 2.



Fig 1:Association between surgical technique used and duration of surgery



Fig 2: Association between surgical technique used and duration of surgery

Intra-operative blood loss : The mean intra operative blood loss (in ml) for Cold Steel Dissection surgical technique was 16.33±3.40 ml whereas the mean intra operative blood loss for Bipolar Cautery surgical technique was 6.5±3.57 ml.

Blood loss (in ml)	•	Total			
_	Cold Stee	el Dissection	Bipola		
_	n	%	Ν	%	
<=10	0	0.00	51	85.00	49
10-20	52	86.67	9	15.00	69
20-30	8	13.33	0	0.00	2
Total	60	100.00	60	100.00	120
Chi-square = 89.311, df=2,	p <.001, Significa	ant at 1% level of sig	gnificance		

• •

Table 2 shows association between the surgical technique used and the blood loss (in ml). The association was tested using Chi-square test for association between attributes.

Out of 60 surgeries done using cold steel dissection surgical technique, none (0%) had less than 10 ml blood loss, 52 (86.67%) had between 10-20 ml blood loss, and 8 (13.33%) had between 20-30 ml blood loss. Out of 60 surgeries done using bipolar Cautery technique, 51 (85.00%) had less than 10 ml blood loss,

9 (15.00%) had between 10-20 ml blood loss and none (0.00%) had more than 20 ml blood loss.

The chi-square test statistic suggests that, the quantity of blood loss (in ml) is significantly associated with the surgical technique used, i.e., significantly less in the bipolar diathermy dissection method that in the cold dissection method (γ 2=89.311, p <.01, significant at 1% level of significance). The results were also shown in the figures 3 and 4 below.



Fig 3: Comparison of intra-operative blood loss between the two techniques (in ml)



Fig 4:Comparison of intra-operative blood loss between the two techniques (in ml)

Postoperative haemorrhage :Table 3 and Figures 5 - 10 show the postoperative haemorrhage of the two

techniques of tonsillectomy performed at different time intervals.

Post Operati ve	Zero Hour		Two Hours		Six Hours		Morning next day		Mid-day next day		Evening next day	
Bleed	Cold	Bipo	Cold	Bip	Cold	Bip	Cold	Bip	Cold	Bip	Cold	Bip
	Steel	lar	Steel	olar	Steel	olar	Steel	olar	Steel	olar	Steel	olar
	Disse	Cau	Disse	Cau	Disse	Cau	Disse	Cau	Disse	Cau	Disse	Cau
	ction	tery	ction	tery	ction	tery	ction	tery	ction	tery	ction	tery
No	58	60	59	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
bleedin	(96.6	(100	(98.3	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100
g	7%)	%)	3%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)
Grade I	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
haemor	(0.00	(0.0	(1.67	(0.0	(0.00%	(0.0	(0.00	(0.0	(0.00	(0.0	(0.00	(0.0
rhage	%)	0%)	%)	0%))	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)
Grade II haemor rhage	1 (1.67 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)

Table 3: Post Operative Haemorrhage

Grade	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
III	(1.67	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)
haemor	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)
rhage												
_												
Grade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IV	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)	(0.00	(0.0)
haemor	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)	%)	0%)
rhage												
Total	60(100	60(10	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
	%)	0%)	(100%	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100	(100
)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)	%)

At zero hour, in cold dissection technique, 58 (96.67%) had no bleeding; 1 (1.67%) had grade II haemorrhage and 1 (1.67%) had grade III haemorrhage. Whereas in bipolar cautery technique, all 60 (100%) had no bleeding. At two hours, in cold steel dissection, 59

(98.33%) had no bleed while 1 (1.67%) had grade I haemorrhage. Whereas in bipolar cautery technique, all 60 (100%) had no bleeding. At six hours, morning next day, mid-day next day and evening next day in both groups; no patients had postoperative bleeding.



Fig 5: Postoperative bleeding at zero hour



Fig 6:Postoperative bleeding at two hours







Fig 8:Postoperative bleeding at Morning next day



Fig 9:Postoperative bleeding at Mid-day next day



Fig 10:Postoperative bleeding at Evening next day

Postoperative Pain : Table 4 and figures 11 - 16 show the local postoperative pain comparison between the two techniques used.

-					Table 4:	Post O	perative]	Pain				
Post Zero Hour Oper ative		Two Hours		Six Hours		Morning next day		Mid-day next day		Evening next day		
Blee d	Cold Steel Disse ction	Bip ola r Ca ute ry	Cold Steel Disse ction	Bip ola r Ca ute ry	Cold Steel Disse ction	Bip ola r Ca ute ry	Cold Steel Disse ction	Bip olar Cau tery	Cold Steel Disse ction	Bip olar Cau tery	Cold Steel Disse ction	Bip olar Cau tery
No Pain	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)
Mild Pain	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	54 (90.0 0%)	51 (85. 00%)	54 (90.0 0%)	51 (85. 00%)	60 (100. 0%)	53 (88. 00%)
Mod erate Pain	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	60 (100. 0%)	60 (10 0%)	6 (10.0 0%)	9 (15. 00%)	6 (10.0 0%)	9 (15. 00%)	0 (0.00 %)	7 (12. 00%)
Seve re Pain	60 (100. 0%)	60	60	60	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)	0 (0.00 %)	0 (0.0 0%)
Total	60 (100 %)	60 (10 0%)	60 (100 %)	60 (10 0%)	60 (100 %)	60 (10 0%)	60 (100 %)	60 (100 %)	60 (100 %)	60 (100 %)	60 (100 %)	60 (100 %)

At zero hour and two hours later, both techniques produced severe pain. At six hours after surgery, both groups of patients had moderate pain. At morning and mid day next day, in cold steel dissection method, out of 60 patients; 54 (90%) had mild pain and 6 (10%) had moderate pain. Whereas in the bipolar method, 51 (85%) had mild pain and 9 (15%) had moderate pain.



Fig 11: Postoperative pain at Zero hour



Fig 12:Postoperative pain at Two hours



Fig 13:Postoperative pain at Six hours



Fig 14:Postoperative pain at Morning next day



Fig 15:Postoperative pain at Mid-day next day



Fig 16:Postoperative pain at Evening next day

Discussion

In the present study, we found that cold steel dissection method took longer time. During cold dissection method, cotton balls were used to pack the tonsillar fossa to control haemorrhage and the significant bleeders were ligated which took more time. Whereas

in the bipolar diathermy dissection, the blood vessels were cauterised while dissecting the tonsil. This explains the less significant reduction in the intraoperative time in case of the diathermy dissection method. This has been collaborated by studies done by GucluKaanBeriat et al[2], K LShivkumar et al[2]. Pang YT et al[4] showed a significantly shorter operating time for the diathermy method with an average duration of 11.2 minutes. Raut V et al[5] found that bipolar cautery dissection tonsillectomy took an average of 13 minutes while cold steel dissection tonsillectomy lasted an average of 20 minutes. P K Moonka[6] in its review of numerous tonsillectomy studies found that the bipolar diathermy technique took a shorter duration with an average duration of 15.5 minutes while cold steel dissection technique took 28.8 minutes on an average. In our study, the intraoperative blood loss was found to be more in cold steel dissection with an average of 10 - 20 ml blood loss. Whereas the bipolar cautery method had very minimal blood loss with 85% losing less than 10ml. This could be due to the cauterisation of the blood vessels while dissecting by the bipolar diathermy technique while the blood vessels were cut during the dissection method. A study done by Desmond A. Nunez et al[7] found that hot dissection tonsillectomy halved the amount of blood loss. Pang YT et al[4]. And Mac Gregor FB et al8 both demonstrated reduced blood loss with hot dissection tonsillectomy in their respective studies. H L Tay et al9 showed no difference in intra-operative blood loss between electrodissection and blunt dissection done on either side of the same patients. Raut V et al[5] showed 5 ml blood loss on an average for bipolar diathermy method while cold steel dissection technique had an average of 115 ml. Mohammad Reza Mofatteh et al[10] and Guclu KaanBeriat et al[2] found that intra-operative blood loss was significantly lower in the bipolar method.

Post-operative haemorrhage was classified into five grades.

Grade Treatment employed

I No manipulation required (spontaneous cessation)

II Required manipulation under infiltration anaesthesia

- III Treatment under general anaesthesia
- IV Ligation of external carotid artery
- V Lethal outcome

In our study, there was no post-operative haemorrhage seen in bipolar cautery method. Whereas three episodes of reactionary haemorrhage were seen in the cold steel dissection method, one of which required taking the patient back into the operating room and religating the vessels. All the three cases of reactionary haemorrhage were due to slippage of ligature. K LShivkumar et al[3] concluded that both techniques had the same reactionary haemorrhage incidence of 4%. Muhammad Ahmed Khan et all1, P K Moonka6, Pang Y T4, Raut V5 found no significant difference in the incidence of post-operative haemorrhage between the two techniques.

Post-operative pain was graded by means of FLACC scoring system for young children upto 9 years of age. Children older than 9 years and adults were graded using the Numerical Rating Scale system. In our study, although the post-operative pain levels were the same in the day of surgery, by the next day, there was a perceptible difference between the two sides. And this difference only got more pronounced as the days went by. This could be due to more local inflammation caused by the cautery than that caused by the cold dissection method. The side on which cold dissection was done showed quicker reduction of pain as well as lesser intensity of pain. Muhammad Ahmed Khan et al[11], GucluKaanBeriat et al[2], P K Moonka[6], Mohammed Reza Mofatteh et al[10], Desmond A Nunez et al[7], Mac Gregor et al8 found that postoperative pain was more with bipolar diathermy method. Pang Y T et al[4] found no difference in the intensity and duration of post-operative pain between these two techniques.

K L Shivkumar et al[3] found that post-operative pain was significantly less in the case of hot dissection technique. Although Abdullah R Y Alkhalil et al[12] found no difference in the pain levels between the two techniques on the day of the surgery and the day after surgery, bipolar diathermy showed significantly increased pain levels from the second day after surgery onwards. H L Tay et al[9] found that electrodissection technique showed less pharyngeal pain on the day after surgery which increased in intensity by the end of the first week. Gendyet al[12], Silveria et al[13], Chettri et al[14], Adoga et al[15], Bukhari et al[16] reported higher pain intensity scores with bipolar electrocautery dissection technique. Ali et al[17]in his study found the initial post operative pain between the two techniques to be statistically the same but later on at 7th and 14th post operative days, the severity of pain was higher in patients who underwent bipolar electrocautery tonsillectomy.

Conclusion

Three parameters - duration of the surgical technique, tonsillectomy associated haemorrhage and postoperative local pain were compared between two surgical methods of tonsillectomy. Both the duration of the surgical technique and the intra-operative haemorrhage were significantly lesser in the bipolar diathermy dissection method than that in the cold dissection method. Post-operative haemorrhage risk was higher in the cold dissection method. However it was not found to be significant. Although the postoperative pain levels were the same in the day of surgery, by the next day, there was a perceptible difference between the two sides. The side on which cold dissection was done showed quicker reduction of pain as well as lesser intensity of pain. In regards to the intra-operative time and haemorrhage, bipolar diathermy technique was found to be better than the cold dissection method. However, postoperative pain was more in the former method.

References

- 1. Kliegman R, Stanton B, Geme J, Schor N. Nelson's textbook of paediatrics. 20th ed. Philadelphia:Elsevier; 2016.
- 2. Beriat GK, Ezerarslan H, Ekmekçi P, Kocatürk S. The use of bipolar electrocautery tonsillectomy in patients with pediatric respiratory tract obstruction. Journal of Clinical and Analytical Medicine. 2012;3(1):36-40.
- 3. Shivkumar KL, Goyal K, Kamal, Satish HS. Incidence of reactionary haemorrhage following tonsillectomy using electrocautery vs ligation as method of haemostasis. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences. 2014;13(8):39-42.
- Pang YT, El-Hakim H, Rothera MP. Bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy. Clinical Otolaryngology & Allied Sciences. 1994;19 (4) :355-7.
- Raut V, Bhat N, Kinsella J, Toner JG, Sinnathuray AR, Stevenson M. Bipolar scissors versuscold dissection tonsillectomy: a prospective, randomized, multi-unit study. The laryngoscope. 2001;111 (12):2178-82.
- 6. Moonka PK. Ligation vs. bipolar diathermy for haemostasis in tonsillectomy—a comparative study. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery. 2002;54(1):35-8.
- Nunez DA, Provan J, Crawford M. Postoperative tonsillectomy pain in pediatricpatients: electrocautery (hot) vs cold dissection and snare tonsillectomy— a randomized trial. Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery. 2000 ; 126(7):837-41.
- 8. MacGregor FB, Albert DM, Bhattacharyya AK. Post-operative morbidity following paediatric

Conflict of Interest: Nil Source of support:Nil tonsillectomy; a comparison of bipolar diathermy dissection and blunt dissection. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology. 1995; 31(1): 1-6.

- 9. Tay HL. Post-operative morbidity in electrodissection tonsillectomy. The Journal of Laryngology& Otology. 1995;109(3):209-11.
- Mofatteh MR, Salehi F, Hosseini M, Hassanzadeh-Taheri M, SharifzadehG, Hassanzadeh-Taheri M. Comparison of postoperative morbidity between conventional cold dissection and bipolar electrocautery tonsillectomy: which technique is better?. Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology. 2020;86(4):427-33.
- 11. Khan MA, Khan ZU, Akram S, Rafique U, Usman HB. Comparison of postoperative pain and hemorrhage in children after tonsillectomy with bipolar diathermy technique versus tonsillectomy with cold steel dissection and silk ligature. Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal. 2015;65(6):739-42.
- Gendy S, O'Leary M, Colreavy M, Rowley H, O'Dwyer T, Blayney A. Tonsillectomy cold dissection vs. hot dissection: a prospective study. Irish medical journal. 2005;98(10): 243.
- 13. Silveira H, Soares JS, Lima HA. Tonsillectomy: cold dissection versus bipolar electrodissection. International journal of pediatric otorhino laryngology. 2003;67(4): 345-51.
- 14. Chettri ST, Bhandary S, Nepal A, Joshi RR, Natesh V, Sah SP, Sah BP, Koirala S. A single blind controlled study comparing bipolar elecrocautery tonsillectomy to cold dissection method in pediatric age groups. Health Renaissance. 2013;11(3):270-2.
- 15. Adoga AA. Cold versus hot dissection tonsillectomies: The Nigerian experience. East and Central African Journal of Surgery. 2011;16(3):22
- Bukhari MA, Al-Ammar AY. Monopolar electrodissection versus cold dissection tonsillectomy among children. Saudi medical journal. 2007; 28(10):1525-8.12
- Ali M, Rafique A, Dastgir M, Rashid M, Maqbool S, Bashir S. Comparison of bipolar electrocautry and cold steel dissection methods for tonsillectomy. Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal. 2014 31;64(1):34-8.