

## Original research article

## Clinico-pathological study of soft tissue tumors: an observational study

Pankaj Kumar Suman<sup>1</sup>, Rena Kumari<sup>2</sup>, R.K.Mishra<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Tutor, Department of Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.<sup>2</sup>Tutor, Department of Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

Received: 10-06-2020 / Revised: 05-07-2020 / Accepted: 04-08-2020

**Abstract**

**Objective:** to determine the overall incidence of soft tissue tumours and their frequency of distribution in relation to age, sex and various sites in the body, and to study the histomorphological features which would help in classification and sub classification of soft tissue tumours. **Methods:** This retrospective study was carried out Department of Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital Bhagalpur, Bihar, India from January 2019 to December 2019. Total 200 patients of all the soft tissue tumors, both benign and malignant were included in this study. **Results:** Benign soft tissue tumours formed 87.5% of all soft tissue tumours while malignant soft tissue tumours constituted 12.5%. The male to female ratio among the benign soft tissue tumours was 1.33:1 and among the malignant soft tissue tumours was 1.27:1. The commonest benign tumour was lipoma (52%) of all benign tumours of soft tissue followed by vascular tumours (19.5%) peripheral nerve sheath tumours (18%), fibrous tumours (2.5%), fibrohistiocytic tumours (3.5%) smooth muscle tumours (1.5%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation (1%) in the decreasing order to frequency. There is a highly significant association between the type of tumours and the category of tumours. **Conclusion:** A careful gross examination of the specimen and adequate sampling of the tumour is essential. Immunohistochemistry and Special stains are helpful in addition to the routine Haematoxylin and eosin for the proper diagnosis of Soft tissue tumours.

**Keywords:** soft tissue tumors, clinicopathological, adipose

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

**Introduction**

Soft tissue is defined as nonepithelial extra skeletal tissue of the body exclusive of the reticuloendothelial system, glia and supporting tissue of various parenchymal organs. Soft tissue tumours (STS) are a heterogeneous group of tumours classified according to the line of differentiation of adult soft tissues, and the pathogenesis most of which is not known.<sup>1</sup> These are usually classified as benign, intermediate or malignant lesions which can occur in any age group, and which usually present as a painless mass.<sup>2</sup>

The overall incidence of soft tissue tumours is relatively high in case of benign soft tissue tumours with the annual incidence being 3000 per million populations while the incidence of malignant soft tissue tumours is 30 per million populations.<sup>3,4</sup> Many risk factors such as genetic factors, environmental factors, irradiation, viral infections and immune deficiency have been found to be associated with malignant soft tissue tumours and some reports of certain soft tissue sarcomas arising at the site of surgical procedures or fracture sites and in the vicinity of plastic or metal prosthetic or implants as also due to thermal or acid burns after a latent period of several years are found in literature.<sup>5</sup> Depending on the biological behaviour, soft tissue tumours are classified into benign and malignant tumours, which arise nearly everywhere in the body. Benign tumours, which closely resemble normal tissues from which they arise, have limited capacity for autonomous growth. Benign soft tissue tumours are

*\*Correspondence***Dr. Pankaj Kumar Suman**

Tutor, Department of Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

E-mail: [pankajkamakhya@gmail.com](mailto:pankajkamakhya@gmail.com)

usually slow growing, superficial, well-defined, well encapsulated, painless and any soft tissue tumour is considered malignant if they increase in size with size > 5cm, are deep to deep fascia and painful.<sup>6,7</sup>

The mainstay of diagnosis of soft tissue tumour depends on the use of characteristic diagnostic techniques employed in diagnosis of soft tissue tumours with various sampling techniques being excisional, incisional and core biopsy with preferred technique for diagnosing the soft tissue masses over the extremities persistently remaining open biopsy which is considered as gold standard.<sup>8-10</sup> Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) plays an important role in diagnosing the soft tissue lesions and CT-guided FNAC can be of particular help in diagnosis of intraabdominal and retroperitoneal lesions.<sup>11</sup>

Biopsy of soft tissue tumours, particularly of suspicious malignant soft tissue lesion, is quintessential part of preoperative investigations, which helps in diagnosing the biological behaviour and outcome of tumours including poorly differentiated high grade tumours, which is complimented by latest diagnostic techniques such as immunohistochemistry, cytogenetic and molecular methods. This has led to a more logical histogenetic classification and standard nomenclature which has enhanced better chances of clinico-pathological correlation.<sup>12</sup>

#### Material and methods

This retrospective study was carried out in the Department of Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical

College and Hospital Bhagalpur, Bihar, India from January 2019 to December 2019.

The study protocol was reviewed by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital and granted ethical clearance.

#### Methodology

Total 200 patients of all the soft tissue tumours, both benign and malignant were included in this study.

Detailed clinical data including history, clinical features, USG, Radiological findings and gross findings was taken from histopathology record section. The blocks were recut and stained by routine H&E stain. The tissue were fixed in 10% formalin and processed through standard paraffin embedding technique. Sections of approximately 5 was taken and stained by routine hematoxylin and eosin. Special stains like PAS and reticulin, PTAH were also done wherever necessary in studies. They were further examined microscopically and grading was done according.

#### Statistical analysis

The recorded data was compiled entered in a spreadsheet computer program (Microsoft Excel 2010) and then exported to data editor page of SPSS version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics included computation of percentages, means and standard deviations.

#### Results

**Table 1: Relative incidence of benign & malignant soft tissue tumours**

Type	No. of soft tissue tumours	Percentage
Benign	175	87.5%
Malignant	25	12.5%
Total	200	100%

**Table 2: Age & Sex incidence in soft tissue tumours**

Age in yrs	Sex		Total
	Male	Female	
Below 10	7	9	16
10-20	19	12	31
20-30	10	8	18
30-40	12	8	20
40-50	19	14	33
50-60	20	17	37
above 61	27	18	45
Total	114	86	200

**Table 3: Sex Incidence of All SSTS**

Category	Sex		Total
	Male (%)	Female (%)	
Benign	100(50%)	75 (37.5%)	175
Malignant	14(7%)	11(5.5%)	25
Total	114 (57%)	86 (43%)	200

**Table 4: Incidence of Benign & Malignant Soft Tissue Tumors**

Type	Category of Soft tissue tumors		Total (%)
	Benign (%)	Malignant (%)	
Adipocytic	97 (48.5%)	7 (3.5%)	104 (52%)
Fibrous	5 (2.5%)	0	5 (2.5%)
Fibrohistiocytic	04 (2%)	3 (1.5%)	7 (3.5%)
Smooth Muscle	01 (0.5%)	2 (1%)	3 (1.5%)
Skeletal Muscle	0	04 (2%)	4 (2%)
Blood Vessels	35 (17.5%)	04(2%)	39 (19.5%)
Peripheral nerve sheath tumors	33 (16.5%)	03(1.5%)	36 (18%)
Tumors of uncertain differentiation	0	02(1%)	02(1%)
Total	175 (87.5%)	25 (12.5%)	200 (100%)

**Table 5: Site distribution of Benign and Malignant Soft Tissue tumours**

Site	Benign	Malignant	Total
Extremities	63	12	75
Head and Neck	58	04	62
Back and Shoulder	37	02	39
Trunk and Abdomen	15	07	22
Others	02	00	2
Total	175	25	200

### Discussion

Soft tissue is a nonepithelial extra skeletal tissue of the body exclusive of reticuloendothelial system, glia and supporting tissue of the various parenchymal organs. It is represented by the voluntary muscles, adipose tissue and fibrous tissue along with the vessels serving these tissues. They are classified according to the tissue they recapitulate (muscle fat, fibrous tissue, vessels and nerves). Some soft tissue tumors have no normal tissue counterpart but have consistent clinicopathologic features warranting their designation as distinct entities.

In present study the frequency of benign tumour was 87.5% and malignant tumours was 12.5% which is in between study of Myher Jensen *et al.*<sup>13</sup> and Lazxim *et al.*<sup>14</sup> whereas Kransdorf *et al.*<sup>15</sup> reported 60.2% benign and 39.8% malignant soft tissue tumour in their study. In other study of soft tissue tumors of head and neck by Makino<sup>16</sup> stated 96% tumors as benign and 45 % as malignant. In all their studies benign tumours

predominated over malignant tumours. The relative frequency of benign to malignant soft tissue tumours is difficult to estimate accurately since many of the benign tumours cause not much problems and patients do not report to the clinicians and also most benign lesions are not removed.

All around the world many workers analyzed various aspects of soft tissue tumours like age and sex distribution, site, clinical features etc. which have been published in much literature. Specific sarcomas tend to appear in certain age groups. The male preponderance in almost all soft tissue tumours was observed. In the present study there were 114 males and 86 female out of total 200 causes of soft tissue tumour with male to female ratio 1.3:1 which is equal to the study of M.S. Kransdorf *et al.*<sup>17</sup> Our study is also comparable with studies of Mynes Jensen *et al.*<sup>13</sup> and Beg<sup>18</sup> where M:F were 1:1 and 1.8:1 respectively. In present study peak incidence is in age above 60 years followed by age group 50-60 years. Lazim *et al.*<sup>14</sup> studied 213 cases of

soft tissue tumours in one year and reported a male preponderance in all soft tissue tumour with M:F ratio of 1.7:1. Mandong et al.<sup>19</sup> done ten years retrospective study of soft tissue sarcomas and reported male to female ratio 2: 1., which is very close to study of Abudu et al.<sup>20</sup> where male to female ratio was 1.9:1. Agravat et al.<sup>21</sup> studied 100 cases of soft tissue tumors. Of these 86% were benign, 6% malignant, 2% borderline and 6% were tumor like lesions. The adipocytic tumour (52%) is most common soft tissue tumours followed by vascular tumours (19.5%) and peripheral nerve sheath tumours (18%). There is a highly significant association between the type of tumours and the category of tumours. The benign adipocytic tumours accounted for the majority of benign soft tissue tumours (48.5%) followed by vascular tumours (17.5%). Benign tumours of smooth muscle (0.5%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation are nil encountered. The malignant tumours of adipose tissue accounted for majority of malignant soft tissue tumours (3.5) followed by tumours of skeletal muscle, blood vessels and peripheral nerve.

In present study 31.5% benign soft tissue tumours were seen in extremities followed by head and neck 29% which is comparable with Beg *et al.* studies.<sup>18</sup> The studies by Lazim, Beg and Zhi *et al.*<sup>19,22,23</sup> stated commonest site was extremities for the malignant soft tissue tumours mainly lower extremities followed by trunk and abdomen. Whereas in case of Madong *et al.*<sup>19</sup> extremities followed by head and neck. Meis-Kindblom et al.<sup>24</sup> studied eighty cases of angiosarcoma and found most common site was extremities. A study of MPNST from 200 soft tissue sarcomas by Kar et al.<sup>25</sup> reported extremities as most common site followed by chest wall and trunk, pelvis and head and neck.

The malignant soft tissue tumours were observed to have a strong predilection for extremities 57.14% specifically lower extremities, followed by trunk and abdomen 22.85%. The predilection is confirmed by the studies of Kransdorf<sup>15,17</sup> Gebhard et al.<sup>26</sup> studied clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical features of pleomorphic liposarcomas and found lower extremities as most common site of occurrence. Studies by Olivera AM et al.<sup>27</sup>

and Weiss SW et al.<sup>28</sup> on extra skeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma and MFH respectively also reported extremities as most common site that too lower extremities more than upper extremities. Accurate histologic classification contributes significantly to establishing the prognosis of sarcoma. Important diagnostic features are cell morphology and architectural arrangement; often these features are not sufficient to distinguish one sarcoma from another,

particularly with poorly differentiated aggressive tumors. Whatever the type, the grade of a soft tissue sarcoma is important in predicting its behavior. Grading is largely based on degree of differentiation, average number of mitosis per high power field, cellular pleomorphism and extent of necrosis. In general tumors arising in superficial locations have better prognosis than deep seated lesions.

### Conclusion

The diagnosis and management of soft tissue tumors require a team perspective. Even though soft tissue sarcomas are rare and usually present just as painless mass, the clinician must be able to diagnose it early for better management. A careful gross examination of the specimen and adequate sampling of the tumour is essential. Immunohistochemistry and Special stains are helpful in addition to the routine Haematoxylin and eosin for the proper diagnosis of Soft tissue tumours. Availability of a modern, more logical histopathologic classification and standard nomenclature now offers a better clinico pathological co-relation. The clinicopathological evaluation is still the gold standard for the proper diagnosis of soft tissue tumors

### Reference

1. John R. Goldblum, Andrew L. Folpe, Sharon W. Weiss. 2014. Enzinger and Weiss's Soft Tissue Tumors. 6th Ed. Philadelphia. Elsevier: 1
2. Dr. Kinjal Bera, Dr. Mayuri V. Thaker. A Study of Pattern of Distribution of Soft Tissue Tumors in a population of Bhavnagar District. www.iosrjournals.org. DOI: 10.9790/0853-1506065760
3. Jain P, Shrivastava A, Malik R. Clinicomorphological Assessment of Soft Tissue Tumors. Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences (SJAMS). 2014; 2(2D):886-890.
4. GoGi AM, Ramanujam R. Clinicopathological study and management of peripheral soft tissue tumours. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2013 Nov;7(11):2524.
5. Chakrabarti PR, Chakrabarti S, Pandit A, Agrawal P, Dosi S, Jain MR. Histopathological study of soft tissue tumors: A three year experience in tertiary care centre. Indian Journal of Pathology and Oncology. 2015 Jul;2(3):141-9.
6. Bharti G Ramnani, Ashutosh Kumar, Shruti Chandak, Amar Ranjan, Mehul Kumar Patel. Clinicopathological Profile of Benign Soft Tissue Tumours: A Study in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Western India. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2014 Oct,

- Vol-8(10): FC01-FC04
7. Dr B. SyamSundar et al. Clinico Pathological Evaluation of Benign and Malignant Soft Tissue Tumors-2 Years Retrospective Study. JMSCR Volume 04 Issue 06 June: 10822-10831
  8. Gogoi G, Borgohain M, Saikia P, Patel B, Hazarika RK (2017) Histomorphological Study of Soft Tissue Tumors and Review of Literature of Rarer Types. *IntClinPathol J* 4(6): 00113.
  9. VaniTellapuram, SirishaOmmini, Vijay SreedharVeldurthy, Charan Paul, Narsing Rao. M. Spectrum of soft tissue tumours in rural area of Telangana. *International Journal of Research in Health Sciences*. Oct - Dec 2016 Volume-4, Issue-4: 81-86
  10. TN Gibson, B Hanchard, N Waugh, D McNaughton. A Fifty-year Review of Soft Tissue Sarcomas in Jamaica: 1958–2007. *West Indian Med J* 2012; 61 (7): 692-697
  11. Reily Ann Ivan, Shameema S. and Sarada V. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2015, 5(3): 34-38
  12. Baste B D, Swami SY, Narhire V V, Dhamecha M P, D'Costa G. A clinico-pathologic study of soft tissue neoplasms: An experience from a rural tertiary care hospital. *Ann Trop Med Public Health [serial online]* 2017 [cited 2017 Oct 22];10:348-52.
  13. Myhre-Jensen O; A consecutive 7-year series of 1331 benign soft tissue tumours. Clinicopathologic data. Comparison with sarcomas. *Acta Orthop Scand.*, 1981; 52(3): 287-293.
  14. Lazim AF, Bedoor AK, Al-Irhayim; Soft tissue sarcomas in Mosul: a pathologic evaluation. *Ann Coll Med Mosul.*, 2008; 34(2): 152-160.
  15. Kransdorf MJ; Malignant soft tissue tumours in a large referral population: Distribution of specific diagnosis by age, sex and location. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, 1995; 164(1): 129-134.
  16. Makino Y; A clinico pathological study on soft tissue tumours of the head and neck. *Acta Pathol Jpn.*, 1979; 29(3): 389-408.
  17. Kransdorf MJ; Benign soft-tissue tumours in a large referral population: distribution of specific diagnoses by age, sex, and location. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, 1995; 164(2): 395-402.
  18. Beg S, Vasenwala SM, Haider N, Ahmad SS, Maheshwari V, Khan MA; A comparison of cytological and histopathological findings and role of immunostains in the diagnosis of soft tissue tumours. *J Cytol.*, 2012; 29(2): 125-130.
  19. Mandong BM, Kidmas AT, Manasseh AN, Echejoh GO, Tanko, Madaki AJ; Epidemiology of soft tissue sarcomas in Jos, North Central Nigeria. *Niger J Med.*, 2007; 16(3): 246-249.
  20. Abudu EK, Akinde OR, Oyebadejo TO, Efunshile AM, Musa OA, Banjo AA; Histopathological study of soft tissue malignancies in a teaching hospital, Sagamu, South-West Nigeria. *Nig Q J Hosp Med.*, 2010; 20(1): 42-45.
  21. Agravat AH, Dhruva GA, Parmar SA; Histopathology study of human soft tissue tumours And tumour like lesions. *Journal of Cell and Tissue Research*, 2010; 10(2): 2287-2292
  22. Kasraeian S, Allison DC, Ahlmann ER, Fedenko AN, Menendez LR; A Comparison of Fine-needle Aspiration, Core Biopsy, and Surgical Biopsy in the Diagnosis of Extremity Soft Tissue Masses. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*, 2010; 468: 2992-3002.
  23. Zhi-wei F, Jing C, Sheng T, Yong C, Rui-feng X; Analysis of soft tissue sarcomas in 1118 cases. *Chinese Medical Journal*, 2009; 122(1): 51-53.
  24. Meis-Kindblom JM, Kindblom LG; Angiosarcoma of soft tissue; a study of 80 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.*, 1998; 22(6): 683.
  25. Kar M, Suryanarayana Deo SV, Shukla NK, Malik A, Dutta S, Gupta S *et al.*; Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (MPNST) - Clinicopathological study and treatment outcome of twenty-four cases. *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 2006, 4: 55.
  26. Gebhard S, Coindre JM, Michels JJ, Terrier P, Bertrand G, Trassard M *et al.*; Pleomorphic liposarcoma: clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and follow-up analysis of 63 cases: a study from the French Federation of Cancer Centres Sarcoma Group. *Am J Surg Pathol.*, 2002; 26(5): 601-616.
  27. Oliveira AM, Sebo TJ, McGrory JE, Gaffey TA, Rock MG, Nascimento AG; Extraskelatal Myxoid Chondrosarcoma: A Clinicopathologic, Immunohistochemical, and Ploidy Analysis of 23 Cases. *Mod Pathol.*, 2000; 13(8): 900-908.
  28. Weiss SW, Enzinger FM; Malignant fibrous histiocytoma: An Analysis of 200 Cases. *Cancer*, 1978; 41(6): 2250-2266.

**Conflict of Interest:** Nil  
**Source of support:** Nil