Original Research Article

Spectrum of of nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharyngeal mass with radiological correlation at a tertiary care hospital, Gujarat, India

Nikita Modh^{1*}, Shweta Patel², Rushita Makadia³, Vipa Patel⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Dept of Pathology, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhuj, Gujarat, India ²Final Year Resident Doctor, Dept of Pathology, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhuj, Gujarat, India

³Final Year Resident Doctor, Dept of Pathology, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhuj, Gujarat, India

⁴Final Year Resident Doctor, Dept of Pathology, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhuj, Gujarat, India

Received: 29-11-2021 / Revised: 26-12-2021 / Accepted: 05-01-2022

Abstract

Introduction: The nasal masses are most commonly encountered condition in outpatient department of otorhinolaryngology. A sino nasal mass can have various differential diagnosis. They may be inflammatory, congenital, neoplastic (benign and malignant), traumatic in nature. Classically benign neoplasm expands and remodel bone and aggressive malignancies destroy and invade adjacent tissue with ill-defined margin[1]. Various pathologies ranging from non-neoplastic lesion to malignant tumor may present as simple nasal mass. Neoplasms of the sinuses and nasal cavity account for 0.2–0.8 % of all carcinomas. **Aim:** This study aims to evaluate the spectrum of nasal, PNS and nasopharyngeal diseases on histopathology and correlate their age, gender, histopathological and radiological findings. **Materials and methods**: This was an observational study carried out at the Department of Pathology, at Gujarat Adani Instituteof Medical Sciences, Bhuj from June 2021 to May 2022. Total 80 cases of nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharyngeal masses were included for histopathological examination. **Results**: We studied 80 cases out of which 57 (71.25%) were male and 23 (28.75%) were females. From 80 cases, 63 (78.75%) caseswere non-neoplastic in nature while 17(21.25%) were neoplastic. Out of 17 neoplastic cases, 4 (5%) are benign tumors and 13 (16.25%) are malignant tumors. Radiological correlation is mandatory for confirmatory diagnosis of any masses present in nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharynx. Radiological examination is mandatory for confirmatory diagnosis of any masses present in nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharynx. Radiological investigation always play important role as it helps pathologist as well as clinician about primary diagnosis of whether benign or malignant.

Keywords: Nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharyngeal mass, neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesion, radiological features.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The nose is the most prominent part of the face with functional and considerable aesthetic importance. Anatomical position of the nose and it passage have been considered as the direct route to the brain, individual's source of intelligence and spirituality[2]. Presence of any mass in the nose and paranasal sinuses seems to be a simple problem; however, it raises many questions about the differential diagnosis[3]. Paranasal sinuses (PNSs) diseases commonly affect the varied range of population, which range from inflammatory conditions to neoplasms, both benign and malignant[4]. Neoplasms of the sinuses and nasal cavity account for 0.2-0.8 % of all carcinomas[5]. Having an uncertain aetiology and tendency to recur, they represent a challenging diagnosis for the physician to treat. The causes of nasal masses in children include congenital and developmental disorders such as congenital nasolacrimal duct mucocele, dermoid cyst, cephalocele, and nasal neuroglial heterotopia; inflammatory and infectious processes such as mucocele, polyp, and pyogenic granuloma; benign neoplasms such as infantile hemangioma and juvenile nasopharyngeal angiofibroma; malignant lesions such as rhabdomyosarcoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma; and masses related to prior trauma such as septal hematoma[6].

*Correspondence

Dr. Nikita Modh

Assistant Professor, Dept of Pathology, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhuj, Gujarat, India. E-mail: nikitamodh012@gmail.com Therefore, nasal endoscopy, radiology and histopathology are employed collectively to help us reach the diagnosis. Advanced imaging techniques like computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) helps us to reach the presumptive diagnosis. CT gives superior bony definitions whereas MRI gives superior soft tissue delineation in the adjacent infratemporal fossa, masticator area, and perineural, intra-orbital, and intracranial distribution measurement[7]. Histopathological evaluation (HPE) remains the gold standard of investigation. It provides the actual diagnosis of variant conditions labelled as sino nasal masses. In all instances, histopathological analysis of the infected tissue should be done through biopsy or surgically excised specimen[8]. The present study was carried out to find out correlation of clinical, radiological and histopathological diagnosis of sinonasal masses.

Aim

This study aims to evaluate the spectrum of nasal, PNS and nasopharyngeal diseases on histopathology and correlate their age, gender, histopathological and radiological findings.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in department of Pathology at Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Sciences, G.K. general Hospital, Bhuj from June, 2021 to May, 2022 to evaluate histopathological features and radiological findings of nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharyngeal masses. The present prospective observational study was conducted on 80 histopathological samples received with history

of nasal, PNS and nasopharyngeal masses of any age and gender. The sample were received in formalin filled wide mouthed container with requisition form having detailed clinical history, age, sex, radiological investigation and site of tissue taken by FESS (Functional endoscopic sinus surgery) method by ENT department. The specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin. 3 to 5 microns thick sections were made from formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks and stained with H & E and examined under microscope. Diagnosis was confirmed by histopathological evaluation and correlated with the radiological diagnosis. The data so obtained was compiled, analysed and valid conclusion drawn.

Observations and results

Total 80 patients were included in this study. Out of 80 patients,57(71.25%) were males and 23(28.75%) were females with age ranging from15 years to 80years (mean age 43.39 years). The most common age group involved was 21 to 40 years (34cases, 42.5%) followed by 41to 60years (24cases, 30.0%) (Table-1).

Table 1: Age and gender wise distribution of patients			
Age group	Males (n)	Females (n)	Total
0 to 20 years	6 (7.5%)	3 (3.75%)	9 (11.25%)
21 to 40 years	28 (35%)	6 (7.5%)	34 (42.5%)
to 60 years	17 (21.25%)	7 (8.75%)	24 (30.0%)
>60 years	6 (7.5%)	7 (8.75%)	13 (16.25%)
Total	57 (71.25%)	23 (28.75%)	80 (100%)

On histopathological examination, majority of the cases were diagnosed as fungal infection (32 cases, 40%), followed by nasal polyp (30 cases, 37.5%) Total 5 (6.25%) cases were diagnosed as benign lesionsand 13 (16.25%) cases were diagnosed as malignant tumors (Table-2).

Histopathological diagnosis	Number of cases	Percentage (%)	
Non neoplastic			
Nasal polyp	30	37.5	
Fungal infection	32	40	
Inflammeddentigerous cyst	1	1.25	
Neopla	stic		
Benign			
Pyogenic granuloma	2	2.5	
Angiofibroma	1	1.25	
Ossifying fibroma	1	1.25	
Malignant			
Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	3	3.75	
BCC	3	3.75	
Spindle cell tumor	1	1.25	
Round cell tumor	2	2.5	
Adenoid cystic carcinoma	1	1.25	
High grade/ poorly differentiated malignancy	3	3.75	

U	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0	U
Table 2: Dist	tribution of p	patients according	to histo	pathological d	iagnosis

In our study, nasal polyps were more common (19 cases, 23.75%) in 21 to 40 years of age with male predominance, while fungal infections were common (15 cases, 18.75%) in 41 to 60 years of age with male predominance. In case of neoplastic lesions, benign lesions (3 cases, 3.75%) were more common in age group of 0 to 20 years of age with male predominance. Malignant lesions have bimodal peak in age group of 21 to 40 years and >60 years of age with each having 4 (5%) cases (Table-3).

Age group	Males (n)	Females (n)	Total	
Nasal polyp				
0 to 20 years	2 (2.5%)	2 (2.5%)	4 (5.0%)	
21 to 40 years	16 (20%)	3 (3.75%)	9 (23.75%)	
41 to 60 years	4 (5.0%)	2 (2.5%)	6 (7.5%)	
>60 years	1 (1.25%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.25%)	
Total	23 (28.75%)	7 (8.75%)	30 (37.5%)	
	Fungal info	ection		
0 to 20 years	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
21 to 40 years	6 (7.5%)	3 (3.75%)	9 (11.25%)	
41 to 60 years	12 (15%)	3 (3.75%)	15 (18.75%)	
>60 years	4 (5.0%)	4 (5.0%)	8 (10%)	
Total	22 (27.5%)	10 (12.5%)	32 (40%)	
	Benign le	sion		
0 to 20 years	3 (3.75%)	0 (0%)	3 (3.75%)	
21 to 40 years	2 (2.5%)	0 (0%)	2 (2.5%)	
41 to 60 years	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
>60 years	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Total	5 (6.25%)	0(0%)	5 (6.25%)	
Malignancy				
0 to 20 years	1 (1.25%)	1 (1.25%)	2 (2.5%)	
21 to 40 years	4 (5.0%)	0 (0%)	4 (5.0%)	

Table 3: Age and gender wise distribution of histopathological diagnosis

41 to 60 years	1 (1.25%)	2 (2.5%)	3 (3.75%)
>60 years	1 (1.25%)	3 (3.75%)	4 (5.0%)
Total	7 (8.75%)	6(7.5%)	13 (16.25%)

In our study all 80 patients underwent radiological investigations. On the basis of radiology, out of 80 patients, 63(78.75%) patients were non-neoplastic, 4 (5.0%) patients were benign and 13 (16.25%) patients were malignant. Out of the 80 patients, in 79 (98.75%) patients radiological diagnosis correlated with the histopathological diagnosis (table-4).1 (1.25%) case was atypically presented as ?polyp on radiological scan which was diagnosed as mucor mycosis on histopathological examination. The 7 (8.75%) malignant cases diagnosed as nasal mass with malignant aetiology on radiological investigation, were confirmed with histopathological examination.

Table 4. Comparison of Histopathological and Fadiological diagnosis					
Radiological diagnosis	Number of cases	Histopathological diagnosis	Number of cases		
Non-Neoplastic					
Nasal polyp	31 (38.75%)	Nasal polyp (Fig. 4)	30 (37.5%)		
		Mucor mycosis (Fig. 1)	1 (1.25%)		
Fungal infection	31 (38.75%)	Fungal infection	31 (38.75%)		
Inflamed dentigerous cyst	1 (1.25%)	Inflamed dentigerous cyst	1 (1.25%)		
		Neoplastic			
Benign					
Pyogenic granuloma	2 (2.5%)	Pyogenic granuloma	2 (2.5%)		
Angiofibroma	1 (1.25%)	Angiofibroma	1 (1.25%)		
Ossifying fibroma	1 (1.25%)	Ossifying fibroma	1 (1.25%)		
Malignant					
Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	3 (3.75%)	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	3 (3.75%)		
BCC	3 (3.75%)	BCC	3 (3.75%)		
	7 (8.75%)	Spindle cell tumour	1 (1.25%)		
Nasal mass ?malignancy		Round cell tumour (Fig. 2)	2 (2.5%)		
		Adenoid cystic carcinoma (Fig. 3)	1 (1.25%)		
		Poorly differentiated carcinoma more likely small round cell tumor	1 (1.25%)		
		Poorly differentiated carcinoma	1 (1.25%)		
		Possibility of high-grade malignancy	1 (1.25%)		



Fig.1: Mucor mycosis (H&E; 40X)



Fig.2: Small blue round cell tumor (H&E; 40X)



Fig.3: Adenoid cystic carcinoma (H&E;40X)

Discussion

A variety of non-neoplastic and neoplastic conditions involve the nasal cavity (NC), paranasal sinuses (PNS) and these are very common lesions encountered in clinical practice. The presenting features and symptomatology and advanced imaging technique helps to draw a provisional diagnosis but histopathological examination remains the gold standard to illustrate definitive diagnosis[9].

The present study was conducted among 80 subjects who presented with sino-nasal and nasopharyngeal masses. This study comprised of 71.25% males and 28.75% females (male to female ratio was 2.47:1), hence indicating male dominance. Our results were similar to the study conducted by Bist et al who showed male to female ratio was 1.8:1.0[10]. Males are often exposed to dust, infection and smoking habits, and have more outdoor functioning as compared to females. The male dominance may indicate the genetic predisposition for developing various diseases in males or it could be a reflection of the male dominated society where the male members are exposed to varied environmental stress factors in the process of earning a livelihood for the family, or it could be due to an overall higher male attendance at hospitals[8].

In present study the majority of the cases were in the age group of 21–40 years (42.5%). The demographic distribution of the present was in concordance with the study done by Kanwar, et al[4]. Mean age of presentation in the present study was 43.39. That was in accordance with another Indian study done by S. S. Bist et al[10] which shows mean age of presentation was 39.4 years, whereas the mean age for non-neoplastic, benign, and malignant lesions was 39.1, 27.1, and 51 years, respectively. The study conducted by Khan N et al[11] also revealed similar mean age of presentation which was 22.5 years for non-neoplastic lesions, 26.8 years for benign lesions, and 35.3 years for malignant lesions. It was observed that in most of the studies that mean age was less for non-neoplastic lesions; it was increasing for benign lesions and was highest for malignant lesions[10].

In our study all 80 patients underwent radiological investigation. On the basis of radiology, out of 80 patients, 63 (78.75%) patients were non-neoplastic, 04 (5.0%) patients were benign and 13 (16.25%) patients were malignant. These results are similar with the study done by Vikas Dhillon et al[12].

In our study, 98.75 % of the cases correlated both radiologically and histologically. 1.25% cases diagnosed radiologically as polyp had a different histopathological diagnosis.Similar results were reported by Sahini et al[8]. and Karki et al in their study[13]. Comparisons of radiological and histopathological diagnosis were done among 81 non-neoplastic cases, out of which 90.13% were correlated and among neoplastic cases, 57.89% were correlated.



Fig.4: Inflammatory polyp (H&E; 40X)

Conclusions

It can be concluded from our study that histopathological examination is mandatory for confirmatory diagnosis of any masses present in nasal, paranasal sinus and nasopharynx. Radiological investigation always play important role as it helps pathologist as well as clinician about primary diagnosis of whether benign or malignant.

References

- 1. Das S, Kirsch CF. Imaging of lumps and bumps in the nose: A review of sino nasal tumours. Cancer Imaging. 2005;5:167-77.
- Lathi A, Syed MMA, Kalakoti P, Qutub D, Kishve SP. Clinicopathological profile of sinonasal masses: a study from a tertiary care hospital of India. ActaOtorhinolaryngologicaItalica. 2011;31:372-7.
- Bakari A, Afolabi OA, Adoga AA, Kodiya AM, Ahmad BM. Clinico-pathological profile of sinonasal masses: an experience in national ear care center Kaduna, Nigeria. BMC Research Notes. 2010;3:186.
- Kanwar SS, Mital M, Gupta PK, Saran S, Parashar N, Singh A. Evaluation of paranasal sinus diseases by computed tomography and its histopathological correlation. J Oral MaxillofacRadiol 2017;5:46-52.
- RawatDS, Chadha V, Grover M, Ojha T, Verma PC. Clinicopathological Profile and Management of Sino-nasal Masses: A Prospective Study. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery. 2013;65:388-93.
- Rodriguez DP, Orscheln ES and Koch BL. Masses of the Nose, Nasal Cavity, and Nasopharynx in Children. Head and neck imaging. 2017; 37:1704–1730.
- Thompson LD. Sino nasal carcinomas. Current Diagnostic Pathology. 2006;12(1):40-53.
- Sahni D, Kaur G, Verma P, Kaur R, Singh H. Clinicopathological and radiological correlation of sino-nasal masses in a tertiary care center. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2020;6:1342-6.
- Kulkarni AM, Mudholkar VG, Acharya AS, Ramteke RV. Histopathological Study of Lesions of Nose and Paranasal Sinuses. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery. 2012;64:275-279.
- Bist SS, Varshney S, Baunthiyal V, Bhagat S, Kusum A. Clinico-pathological profile of sino nasal masses: an experience in tertiary care hospital of Uttarakhand. Natl J Maxillofac Surg. 2012;3:180-6.
- 11. Khan N, Zafar U, Afroz N, Ahmad SS, Hasan SA. Masses of nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses and nasopharynx: a

clinicopathological study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2006;58:259-63

12. VikasDhillon, RachnaDhingra, Jai lalDavessar, Aarushi Chaudhary, ShamimMonga, Manpreet Kaur, Hobinder Arora. Correlation of clinical, radiological and histopathological diagnosis among patients with sinonasal masses. International

Conflict of Interest: Nil Source of support: Nil

- Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2016;3(6):1612-1615.
- Karki R, Pradhan B, Thapa N, Jha A. Correlation of clinical and radiological with post-operative histopathological diagnosis of nasal masses. Nepalese J ENT Head Neck Surg. 2012;3(1):5-6.