

**A study to assess the prevalence and severity of vitamin D deficiency in type 2 DM****Manish Chandra Mukul<sup>1\*</sup>, Dinesh Kumar<sup>2</sup>**<sup>1,2</sup> *Senior Resident, Department of General Medicine, Indira Gandhi institute of medical sciences, Patna, Bihar, India***Received: 13-09-2020 / Revised: 19-10-2020 / Accepted: 25-11-2020****Abstract**

**Aims:** The aim of the study to assess the prevalence and severity of vitamin D deficiency in type 2 DM as well as to record the effect of hyperglycaemia on serum vitamin D level. **Material and methods:** A case-control study was conducted in the Department of General Medicine, Indira Gandhi institute of medical sciences, Patna, Bihar, India from December 2018 to November 2019. Total 240 participants were included in the study out of which 120 healthy people were included as case (Group A) and 120 type 2 diabetic patients as controls (Group B). Routine laboratory investigations like CBC, FBS, RBS, PP2BS, HbA1C, blood urea, serum creatinine, lipid profile, urine albumin and Vitamin D3 levels were done by standard methods in central laboratory of Institute. **Results:** Prevalence of low vitamin D level in healthy population was only 23.33 % in my study, while prevalence was 85.83 % in Diabetic group. Among diabetic patients having abnormal Vitamin D level, majority (65%) were having insufficiency, only 20.83% were having over vitamin D deficiency in Diabetic patients. In patients with controlled diabetes as per HbA1C criteria, the prevalence of sufficient, Insufficient and Deficient Vitamin D was 18.51%, 61.11% and 16.67% respectively, where in patients with uncontrolled diabetes it was 10.60%, 68.18% and 24.24% respectively. More number of diabetic patients with uncontrolled status (24.24%) was having overt vitamin D deficiency in comparison to controlled status (16.67%). There is a significant association between the maintenance of euglycemia and severity of Vitamin D level in diabetic patients, as the p value is less than 0.05. **Conclusion:** control of diabetic status is mandatory in order to prevent vitamin D deficiency.

**Keywords:** Serum vitamin D level, Type 2 diabetes mellitus, Vitamin D deficiency.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

**Introduction**

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder, the prevalence of which is increasing steadily all over the world. It has been estimated that, by 2030, the global population of diabetes would have been 562 million [1]. Although the number of people with T2DM is increasing in every country, its major contribution is from developing countries, where it is fast becoming an epidemic. Due to the increasing global burden of T2DM, the pathophysiology of this disease is being explored with

renewed interest. Insulin resistance and  $\beta$ -cell failure are the core pathophysiologic defects of T2DM. It is primarily due to interplay between genetic and environmental factors. Incidence of T2DM varies from one geographical region to another due to differences in lifestyle and risk factors. Apart from conventional environmental risk factors like obesity, physical inactivity, intake of high calorie food and stress, the role of certain nutritional factors in pathogenesis of T2DM is an emerging concept at present. Accumulating evidence from several cross-sectional studies suggests that vitamin D has an important role in the homeostasis of blood glucose, and its deficiency may cause development of T2DM. Vitamin D, originally described merely as a vitamin, is indeed a misnomer as it is now well-established that its active form is a hormone which is not only involved in bone metabolism but also in a plethora of non-skeletal

*\*Correspondence*

**Dr. Manish Chandra Mukul**

Senior Resident, Department of General Medicine, Indira Gandhi institute of medical sciences, Patna, Bihar, India.

**E-mail:** [mc\\_mukul@rediffmail.com](mailto:mc_mukul@rediffmail.com)

physiological processes. Several mechanisms have been proposed, indicating a positive effect of vitamin D on insulin secretion and sensitivity, which include its direct effect via activation of vitamin D receptor on pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells and insulin sensitive organs and indirect effect via regulation of calcium homeostasis [2,3]. In the largest epidemiological study from the NHANES population, a dose-dependent inverse relationship has been observed between vitamin D and type 2 DM with the highest number of metabolic syndrome patients having the lowest quartiles of vitamin D [4]. The main source of vitamin D in humans is exposure to sunlight, natural diet and dietary supplements. Vitamin D from the skin and diet are metabolised in the liver to 25-hydroxy vitamin D [25(OH)D] which is used to determine the patient's vitamin D status. Although there is no definite consensus about the normal level of vitamin D, most experts define vitamin D deficiency as less than 20 ng/ml. A level of 20 - 29 ng/ml is considered to indicate a relative insufficiency of vitamin D and a level of 30 ng/ml or greater can be considered as sufficient [5,6]. According to this definition, 1 billion people worldwide are suffering from vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency. Even in the sunniest countries including India, vitamin D deficiency is very common as most of the body surface is shielded from the sun. India is a country where both T2DM and hypovitaminosis D are prevalent. But relatively scarce data is available observing the correlation between the two. The aim of the study to assess the prevalence and severity of vitamin D deficiency in type 2 DM as well as to record the effect of hyperglycaemia on serum vitamin D level.

### Material and Methods

A case-control study was conducted in the Department of General Medicine, Indira Gandhi institute of medical sciences Patna, Bihar, India from December 2017 to November 2018, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee.

### Methodology

The technique, risks, benefits, results and associated complications of the procedure were discussed with all patients. Total 240 participants were included in the study out of which 120 healthy people were included as case (Group A) and 120 type 2 diabetic patients as controls (Group B). Controls include age and sex

matched healthy individuals. Patients with chronic kidney disease, patients taking calcium supplements or vitamin D supplements within last 3 months, patients suffering from any known chronic illness were excluded from this study. Routine laboratory investigations like CBC, FBS, RBS, PP2BS, HbA1C, blood urea, serum creatinine, lipid profile, urine albumin and Vitamin D3 levels were done by standard methods in central laboratory of Institute. The value of serum vitamin D level was further divided in following category: sufficient = 30-100ng/ml, insufficient = 20-29ng/ml, deficiency = less than 20ng/ml.

### Statistical analysis

The recorded data was compiled and entered in a spreadsheet computer program (Microsoft Excel 2010) and then exported to data editor page of SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics included computation of percentages and means. Test applied for the analysis was chi-square test.

### Results

In the study population, the mean age of group A (case) was  $52.42 \pm 10.25$  years while that of group B (control) was  $50.12 \pm 11.88$  years. Total 140 males and 100 females were enrolled in study. 65% of group A were male and 35 female. Group B (control) had 60% males and 40 female. On evaluation of investigation profile of both group A and group B, mean values of haematological parameters in form of haemoglobin, total count and platelet were within normal limit and comparable in both groups. Surprisingly mean value of renal function test parameters, blood urea and serum creatinine were within normal range for diabetic group also, though 10.5% of patients had abnormal serum creatinine value and it range from 2.22 to 4.2 mg/dl. Mean value of all lipid profile component were in normal limit in both the group, but 35% of diabetic patients had dyslipidaemia and commonest dyslipidaemia was hyper-triglyceridemia in 30% patients. Frequency Distribution of Participants according to Severity of Vitamin D level noted. Prevalence of low vitamin D level in healthy population was only 23.33 % in my study, while prevalence was 85.83 % in Diabetic group. Among diabetic patients having abnormal Vitamin D level, majority (65%) were having insufficiency, only 20.83% were having overt vitamin D deficiency in Diabetic patients (Table 1.)

**Table 1: Severity grading of vitamin d deficiency in cases and controls**

	Case =120	%	Control=120	%
<b>Deficiency</b>	25	20.83	nil	-
<b>Insufficient</b>	78	65	28	23.33
<b>Sufficient</b>	17	14.17	92	76.67

**Table 2: The association of severity of vitamin D level with the category of diabetes control**

Diabetes control	Vitamin D Level			Chi Sq (p value)
	Sufficient	Insufficient	Deficiency	
Controlled Diabetic (N = 54)	10(18.51%)	33 (61.11%)	9(16.67%)	3.12
Uncontrolled Diabetic(N= 66)	7(10.60%)	45 (68.18%)	16(24.24%)	(0.003)

In patients with controlled diabetes as per HbA1C criteria, the prevalence of sufficient, Insufficient and Deficient Vitamin D was 18.51%, 61.11% and 16.67% respectively, where in patients with uncontrolled diabetes it was 10.60%, 68.18% and 24.24% respectively. More number of diabetic patients with uncontrolled status (24.24%) was having overt vitamin D deficiency in comparison to controlled status (16.67%). There is a significant association between the maintenance of euglycemia and severity of Vitamin D level in diabetic patients, as the p value is less than 0.05 (Table 2). Pearson correlation test showed negative correlation between HbA1C level and mean vitamin D level in Diabetic group as  $r = -0.266$ ,  $p \text{ value} = <0.001$ . It suggests as HbA1c level increase, the level of

vitamin D decreases, so more severe the hyperglycaemia and poorer the control of diabetes status, there was more severe the vitamin D deficiency. We also compare the mean value of vitamin D deficiency with the duration of Diabetes, but there was no significant relation between duration of diabetes and serum vitamin D deficiency ( $P \text{ value} >0.5$ ). Diabetic nephropathy was the most common microvascular complication seen in type 2 diabetic patients. it was found that all three important microvascular complications: - diabetic retinopathy, diabetic nephropathy and peripheral neuropathy did not have any significant correlation with serum Vitamin D level as p value is greater than 0.05 for all three parameters (Table 3).

**Table 3: Comparison of mean vitamin D level with duration and micro vascular complication of diabetes mellitus**

	Parameters		Mean value of vitamin D (ng/dl)	p value
Duration of diabetes	0-5 years		25.77±6.85	0.265
	5-10 years		27±5.85	
	>10 years		26.12±3.82	
Micro-vascular complication	Diabetic	Present	24.11±5.02	0.077
	Retinopathy	Absent	26.31±5.22	
	Diabetic	Present	27.11±5.45	0.057
	Nephropathy	Absent	25.41±6.35	
	Peripheral	Present	24.24±4.69	0.102
	Neuropathy	Absent	27.25±5.88	

Hypertension was most common co-morbidity found in diabetic group (18.33%) followed by Ischemic Heart Disease (5.83%). Serum vitamin D level was measured in all participants. 85.83 of diabetic population was having less than normal vitamin D level, while only

23.33% had less than normal vitamin D level in group B(control). Mean value of vitamin D in type 2 Diabetic patients was  $26.33 \pm 6.94 \text{ ng/dl}$  and mean value of vitamin D in healthy individuals was  $35.67 \pm 4.88 \text{ ng/dl}$ .

**Table 4: Subgroup analysis- mean vitamin D level in diabetes patients in relation with age, gender and associated co-morbidities**

Parameters (Number of patients)		Mean value of vitamin D	p value
Age group	Below40(91)	25.32±5.75	0.289
	>40 (29)	25.02±3.19	
Gender	Male(78)	28.65±5.86	0.027
	Female (42)	25.09±4.68	
HTN	Yes(22)	21.66±4.22	0.033
	No (98)	25.68±6.81	
IHD	Yes(7)	28.23±4.72	0.125
	No (113)	26.17±5.82	

## Discussion

Vitamin D deficiency is a major health problem worldwide. The overall worldwide Vitamin D deficiency prevalence is around 15% according to study done by Pfothnerhauer KM et al[7]. However the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in India is around 50-90% in normal healthy population[8]. In my study, prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is 23.33% in normal population which is similar to worldwide prevalence but very less in comparison to prevalence shown in Indian studies. This low prevalence in healthy population was contradictory to other Indian studies. Another on-going study on vitamin D level done in similar region had also showed 16% prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in healthy population. Vitamin D exerts its effect on calcium metabolism and hence affects skeletal system; however it also has extra skeletal effects like that on cardiovascular system, endocrine disorders and autoimmune disorder. Several reports have ascribed an active role to vitamin D in the functional regulation of the endocrine pancreas, particularly the beta-cells. India is already declared as 'Capital of Diabetes'. Diabetes mellitus is accepted as major emerging epidemic in India, as India is having 41 million of diabetic patients currently and it will go up to 70 million by year 2025. As vitamin D has been showed to have effect on pathophysiology of diabetes and have very high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, so we have taken up this study to see effect of both high prevalence diseases on each other. Various studies done in different geographical region and cultural background have shown varied range of prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in diabetic group ranging from

67%-98.8%.<sup>8-11</sup> Our study along with Bashir et al and Ifigenia-Kostoglou A et al studies had shown higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in diabetes mellitus patients compared to healthy individuals, but two other studies had shown no difference of prevalence between diabetic and healthy population[8-11]. So, we have compared the mean value of serum vitamin D level in diabetic patients and in healthy population of various study. Various studies including our study had low mean level of vitamin D for diabetic patients in comparison to healthy population[8,11,12]. In our study, mean vitamin D level is lower in patients with uncontrolled diabetes than patient with controlled diabetes (p value=0.003 Chi Sq test). Similar results was shown by Mukherjee B et al. Mean level of vitamin D is low in uncontrolled diabetic patients (19.47±4.76) as compared to controlled diabetic patients (23.63±3.71).<sup>12</sup> Modi KD et al found that vitamin D levels in patients with controlled diabetes was 22.4±18.6 while in uncontrolled diabetic patients it was lower, 19.9±18.3 which is statistically significant[13]. Overall insufficiency is more common than deficiency state in diabetic patients regardless of diabetic control status, but severe vitamin D deficiency is more prevalent when patients were having uncontrolled diabetes than controlled diabetes (24.24% and 16.67% respectively). On Pearson correlation, the study has demonstrated negative correlation between HbA1C level and serum vitamin D level. It suggesting that as HbA1C level increase, there is decrease in serum vitamin D level. Ifigenia-Kostoglou A et al had also found that 25(OH) D3 levels were inversely associated with HbA1c when the patient and control groups were analysed together (p = 0.008,  $r^2 = 0.058$ ,

linear regression analysis)[11]. Study by Mukherjee B et al also indicates there is a definite negative correlation between Vitamin D levels and diabetes ( $r = -0.94$  and  $-0.97$ ) and poorly controlled diabetics have further lower values of Vitamin D[12]. A study by Akshaykumar SV et al showed a negative negligible correlation between vitamin D levels and HbA1C, which was not statistically significant ( $r = 0.017$ ,  $p$  value  $0.741$ ) [10]. The inverse relationship between vitamin D level and glycaemic control in this study support an active role of vitamin D in pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Duration of diabetes and presence of micro-vascular complication do not have effect on serum vitamin D level. No effect of increasing age was observed on vitamin D status in diabetic patients and we could not able to find such association in other studies. Female diabetic patients were having lower vitamin D level compared to male counterparts; the reason might be less exposure to sun due to household activity. Hypertension was the most common comorbidity found in diabetic patients (18.33%) in our study. Study by Shalini P et al found that Vitamin D deficiency is more prevalent (80.4%) in hypertensive patients than healthy (67.7%) individuals[14]. Hypertensive diabetic patients had lower vitamin D level than non-hypertensive diabetic patients in my study, which is statistically significant as  $p$  value was  $0.033$ . Ischemic heart disease was another comorbidity found with diabetes, but there was no significant difference in mean Vitamin D level was recorded in diabetic patients with or without ischemic heart disease.

### Conclusion

All patients of type 2 diabetes patients must be screened for vitamin D levels and those found to be having insufficiency or deficiency of vitamin D should be started on vitamin D supplements. Also strict control of diabetic status is mandatory in order to prevent vitamin D deficiency. We sincerely wish this study will be an important step in understanding association of Vitamin D level with type 2 diabetes mellitus and help in preventing vitamin D deficiency in diabetic patients.

### Reference

1. Olokoba AB, Obateru OA, Olokoba LB. Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Review of Current Trends. *Oman Med J* 2012; 27 (4): 269-73.
2. Forouhi NG, Luan J, Cooper A et al. Baseline serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D is predictive of future glycaemic status and insulin resistance: the Medical Research Council Ely Prospective Study 1990 -

2000. *Diabetes* 2008; 57: 2619-25.

3. Chiu KC, Chu A, Go VL et al. Hypovitaminosis D is associated with insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; 79: 820-25.
4. Scragg R, Sowers M, Bell C. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, diabetes, and ethnicity in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Diab Care* 2004; 27 (12): 2813-8.
5. Holick MF, Siris ES, Binkley N et al. Prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy among postmenopausal North American women receiving osteoporosis therapy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005; 90: 3215-24.
6. Lips P, Hosking D, Lippuner K et al. The prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy amongst women with osteoporosis: an international epidemiological investigation. *J Intern Med* 2006; 260: 245-54.
7. Pfothner KM, Shubrook JH. Vitamin D deficiency, its role in health and disease, and current supplementation recommendations. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2017;117(5):301-5.
8. Laway BA, Kotwal SK, Shah ZA. Pattern of 25 hydroxy vitamin D status in North Indian people with newly detected type 2 diabetes: A prospective case control study. *Indian J Endocrinol Meta.* 2014;18(5):726.
9. Alhumaidi M, Adnan AG, Dewish M. Vitamin D deficiency in patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus in southern region of Saudi Arabia. *Maedica.* 2013;8(3):231.
10. Akshay Kumar SV, Nanda SK, Bharathy N, Ravichandran K, Dinakaran A, Ray L. Evaluation of vitamin D status and its correlation with glycated haemoglobin in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Biomedical Res.*2017;28(1).
11. Kostoglou-Athanassiou I, Athanassiou P, Gkountouvas A, Kaldrymides P. Vitamin D and glycemic control in diabetes mellitus type 2. *Therapeutic Adv Endocrinol Meta.*2013;4(4) :122-8
12. Brijesh M, Saurav P. Prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in type-2 Diabetes Mellitus patients and its correlation with glycaemic control. *Int J Bios.* 2014;3:3313-7.
13. Modi KD, Ahmed MI, Chandwani R, Kumar KH. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency across the spectrum of glucose intolerance. *J Diabetes Meta Dis.*2015;14(1):54.
14. Priya S, Singh A, Pradhan A, Himanshu D, Agarwal A, Mehrotra S. Association of Vitamin D and essential hypertension in a North Indian population cohort. *Heart India.*2017;5(1):7.

**Conflict of Interest: Nil**

**Source of support: Nil**