

**Drug sensitivity and utilization profile of the patients suffering from upper respiratory tract infection: an observational study****Sharad Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Rohit Kumar Singh<sup>2</sup>**<sup>1</sup>*Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya, Bihar, India*<sup>2</sup>*Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya, Bihar, India*

Received: 04-09-2020 / Revised: 20-11-2020 / Accepted: 24-11-2020

**Abstract**

**Aim:** to analyze the drug sensitivity and drug utilization profile of the patients suffering from upper respiratory tract infection. **Materials and Methods:** The study was carried out retrospectively by Department of pharmacology after analyzing the copies of prescriptions of patients who had visited the O.P.D. of the ENT department of Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya, India from one year. **Results:** majority of the patients were male 58.5% and rest 41.5% were female. Gram negative organisms are detected in (70.5%), Gram positive organisms detected (21.0%), and no organism detected (8.5%) of the swab samples. **Conclusion:** gram positive organisms were resistant to benzyl penicillin or ampicillin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin and sensitive to tetracycline, gentamicin, linezolid. Gram negative organisms were resistant to ampicillin, amoxycylav and sensitive to piperacillin or tazobactam, cefoperazone, amikacin, gentamicin, imipenem, cefepime, ciprofloxacin.

**Keywords:** culture, throat swab, gram positive, gram negative, throat infection

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

**Introduction**

Antibiotic resistance is emerging as an important public health issue [1,2] and overuse of antibiotics by physicians has been implicated as contributing to the problem [3,4]. More than one-third of all antibiotics prescribed for respiratory infections are because of sore throat, and one in two patients presenting to their general practitioner (GP) with these symptoms receive antibiotics [5,6]. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of antibiotics for sore throat has shown that they only provide a small reduction in symptom severity and duration (1 day) [7]. Survey Studies have shown that 1 in 5 patients taking broad-spectrum antibiotics and 1 in 12 taking narrow-spectrum antibiotics suffer side effects such as a rash or gastrointestinal upset [8-12]. Sore throat is a common complaint in upper respiratory tract infections and may

indicate infection with group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) [13]. Although GAS infections is considered an appropriate indication for antibiotic therapy, only 10% to 20% of sore throat presentations in general practice are culture positive for GAS [14-18]. The remainder are predominantly viral. Reports from various countries estimate that an antibiotic is prescribed in 30% to 75% of visits [19-22]. This suggests that antibiotics are prescribed more often than necessary. One reason for overuse of antibiotics may be current approaches to managing sore throat. Studies comparing clinical diagnosis with throat culture have shown a sensitivity of 50% to 70% and a specificity of 60% to 80% [16-18,23]. Thus, clinical judgement may miss up to 50% of GAS infections while identifying 20% to 40% of the large number of non-GAS sore throat presentations as needing antibiotics. In view of the past observations the present study was conducted with the aim to analyze the drug sensitivity profile of the patients suffering from sore throat.

\*Correspondence

**Dr. Sharad Kumar**

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology,  
Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and  
Hospital, Gaya, Bihar, India.

**E-mail:** [sharadkumar196263@gmail.com](mailto:sharadkumar196263@gmail.com)

## Materials and methods

### Study Design

The study was carried out retrospectively by Department of pharmacology after analyzing the copies of prescriptions of patients who had visited the O.P.D. of the ENT department of Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya, India from one year.

### Methodology

Before starting study a written approval for the protocol was obtained. Then carbon copies of the prescriptions were collected from ENT OPD monthly

from one year. From the collected carbon copies we randomly selected 200 copies. To avoid undue bias of the physicians during prescribing to a patient, the prescription copies were obtained from the office of ENT department. Information on demographic profile and treatment were spread over different age groups. Each prescription then was analyzed as per objectives of study.

**Statistical analysis:** The data was entered in the form of a data matrix in Microsoft Excel® and analysed statistically using IBM® SPSS® version 20.0.0. Descriptive statistics were calculated as frequencies for categorical variables and means and standard deviation for continuous variables.

## Results

**Table 1: Demographic profile of the study population**

Gender	N (%)
Male	117 (58.5%)
Female	83 (41.5%)
Age (Years)	
1-20	38 (19.0%)
21-40	71 (35.5%)
41-60	52 (26.0%)
>60	39 (19.5%)
Age (Mean ± SD)	38.16±3.61

**Table 2: Distribution of infective organisms on the basis of gram staining**

Infective organisms	N (%)
Gram Negative ( <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> etc.)	141 (70.5%)
Gram Positive ( <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> etc.)	42 (21.0%)
No Growth detected	17 (8.5%)
Total	200 (100.0%)

**Table 3: Drug sensitivity profile of gram positive and negative organisms**

Variables	Sensitive	Resistant	Total
<b>Gram Positive</b>			
Benzyl Penicillin	2	35	37
Tetracycline	33	2	35
Gentamicin	34	4	38
Clindamycin	9	29	38
Linezolid	36	0	36
Ciprofloxacin	6	29	35
<b>Gram Negative</b>			
Ampicillin	1	34	35
Tazobactam	94	4	98
Amikacin	92	1	93
Meropenem	94	1	95
Gentamicin	96	2	98
Ciprofloxacin	91	3	94
Amoxycylav	44	52	96

## Discussion

Respiratory tract infection is considered as one of the major public health problems and a leading cause of morbidity & mortality in many developing countries. *Streptococcus pyogenes* is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens that cause acute throat infection among school-aged children living in lower socio-economic conditions.<sup>24</sup> These Gram positive cocci are distributed worldwide and have been associated with a variety of sequelae such as impetigo, otitis media, necrotizing fasciitis, glomerulonephritis, acute rheumatic fever or rheumatic heart disease[25].

Antibiotic resistance is rising to dangerously high levels in all parts of the world. New resistance mechanisms are emerging and spreading globally, threatening our ability to treat common infectious diseases. Throat infections are one of the major causes of antibiotic resistance as over the counter use of medications in patients with ear discharge is prevalent in the area of study. In our study gram positive organisms were resistant to benzyl penicillin or ampicillin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin and sensitive to tetracycline, gentamicin, linezolid. Gram negative organisms were resistant to ampicillin, amoxycylav and sensitive to piperacillin or tazobactam, cefoperazone, amikacin, gentamicin, imipenem, cefepime, ciprofloxacin. Similar results were observed in a study by Sridevi et al while studying the prevalence of various microorganisms from throat swab specimens in patients attending a tertiary care hospital at Chinakakani, which shows that the susceptibility patterns varied depending on the drugs[26]. In a similar study Wakode et al studied 305 throat swab reports and found that isolated bacteria in throat swabs were found to be sensitive with cefotaxime, tetracycline, penicillin and gentamicin[27].

## Conclusion

Every microorganism has its own sensitivity and resistance profile towards a particular antibiotic. Patient should be prescribed best drug after going through these microbiological sensitivity/resistance test of their throat isolates, in order to avoid drug overuse and chances of development of drug resistant strains.

## References

1. Neu HC. The crisis in antibiotic resistance. *Science* 1992;257:1064-73.
2. Brierman RF, Butler JC, Tenover FC, Elliot JA, Facklam RR. Emergence of drug-resistant

pneumococcal infections in the United States. *JAMA* 1994; 271:1831-5.

3. Tenover FC, Hughes JM. The challenge of emerging infectious diseases. Development and spread of multiply-resistant bacterial pathogens. *JAMA* 1996;275:300-4.
4. Arason VA, Kristinsson KG, Sigurdsson JA, Stefansdottir G, Molstad S, Gudmundsson S. Do antimicrobials increase the carriage rate of penicillin resistant pneumococci in children? Cross sectional prevalence study. *BMJ* 1996;313:387-91.
5. Ashworth M, Cox K, Latinovic R et al. Why has antibiotic prescribing for respiratory illness declined in primary care? A longitudinal study using the General Practice Research Database. *J PubHealth* 2004; 26: 268-74.
6. Hawker JI, Smith S, Smith GE et al. Trends in antibiotic prescribing in primary care for clinical syndromes subject to national recommendations to reduce antibiotic resistance, UK 1995-2011: analysis of a large database of primary care consultations. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; 69: 3423-30.
7. Spinks A, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; issue 11: CD000023.
8. Lode H. Safety and tolerability of commonly prescribed oral antibiotics for the treatment of respiratory tract infections. *Am J Med* 2010; 123 Suppl: S26-38.
9. Arason VA, Kristinsson KG, Sigurdsson JA et al. Do antimicrobials increase the carriage rate of penicillin resistant pneumococci in children? Cross sectional prevalence study. *BMJ* 1996; 313: 387-91.
10. Shehab N, Patel PR, Srinivasan A et al. Emergency department visits for antibiotic-associated adverse events. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 735-43.
11. Bartlett JG. Clinical practice. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346: 334-9.
12. Kuehn J, Ismael Z, Long PF et al. Reported rates of diarrhea following oral penicillin therapy in pediatric clinical trials. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther* 2015; 20:90-104
13. Commission on Acute Respiratory Diseases. Endemic exudative pharyngitis and tonsillitis. Etiology and clinical characteristics. *JAMA* 1944;125:1161-9.
14. Infectious Diseases and Immunization Committee, Canadian Paediatric Society. Group A *Streptococcus*: a re-emergent pathogen. *Can Med Assoc J* 1993; 148:1909-11.

15. Dajani AS, Bisno AL, Chung KJ, Durack DT, Gerber MA, Kaplan EL, et al. Prevention of rheumatic fever. A statement for health professionals by the Committee on Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1988;78:1082-6.
16. Hart WJ. Streptococcal pharyngitis. A demonstration of the inaccuracy of clinical diagnosis without culture. *Can Fam Physician* 1976;22:34-9.
17. Shank JC, Powell TA. A five-year experience with throat cultures. *J Fam Pract* 1984;18:857-63.
18. Centor RM, Witherspoon JM, Dalton HP, Brody CE, Link K. The diagnosis of strep throat in adults in the emergency room. *Med Decis Making* 1981;1:239-46.
19. Mainous AG III, Hueston WJ, Clark JR. Antibiotics and upper respiratory tract infection. Do some folks think there is a cure for the common cold? *J Fam Pract* 1996;42:357-61.
20. McGregor A, Dovey S, Tilyard M. Antibiotic use in upper respiratory tract infections in New Zealand. *Fam Pract* 1995;12:166-70.
21. Touw-Otten FWM, Staehr Johansen K. Diagnosis, antibiotic treatment and outcome of acute tonsillitis: report of a WHO regional office for Europe study in 17 European countries. *Fam Pract* 1992;9:255-67.
22. Klajokvic M. Sore throat presentation and management in general practice. *N Z Med J* 1993;106:381-3.
23. Cebul RD, Poses RM. The comparative cost-effectiveness of statistical decision rules and experienced physicians in pharyngitis management. *JAMA* 1986;256:3353-7.
24. Mustaq NA. In: Bacteriology and antibacterial susceptibility of tonsillitis and chronic suppurative otitis media cross sectional study in Al. Habobi Hospital, Thi-Qar: Thi-Qar Medical J. 2011;5(1):118-25.
25. Cauwenberje PBV, Mijnsbrugge AV: Pharyngitis : a survey of the microbiologic etiology. *Pediatrics Infectious Dis J*. 1991; 10. P. 39-42.
26. Sridevi R, Sunita T, Ramarao KS, Babu MR. Microbiological study of pharyngitis at a teaching hospital Chinakakani, India. *Int M J Health*. 2016;2(8):10-3.
27. Wakode PT, Gawarle SH, Joshi SV, Bajoria R. Throat swab culture and sensitivity reports: An Overview. *Ind J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2003; 55(2):76-80.

**Conflict of Interest: Nil**

**Source of support: Nil**